

ASTHENO-KÄHLER AND BALANCED STRUCTURES ON FIBRATIONS

ANNA FINO, GUEO GRANTCHAROV AND LUIGI VEZZONI

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of three classes of Hermitian metrics on certain types of compact complex manifolds. More precisely, we consider balanced, SKT and astheno-Kähler metrics. We prove that the twistor spaces of compact hyperkähler and negative quaternionic-Kähler manifolds do not admit astheno-Kähler metrics. Then we provide examples of astheno-Kähler structures on toric bundles over Kähler manifolds. In particular, we find examples of compact complex non-Kähler manifolds which admit a balanced and an astheno-Kähler metrics, thus answering to a question in [46] (see also [21]). One of these examples is simply connected. We also show that the Lie groups $SU(3)$ and G_2 admit SKT and astheno-Kähler metrics, which are different. Furthermore, we investigate the existence of balanced metrics on compact complex homogeneous spaces with an invariant volume form, showing in particular that if a compact complex homogeneous space M with invariant volume admits a balanced metric, then its first Chern class $c_1(M)$ does not vanish. Finally we characterize Wang C-spaces admitting SKT metrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

After it became clear that certain complex manifolds do not admit Kähler metrics, the question of finding appropriate generalizations naturally arose. Although a universal type of Hermitian non-Kähler metrics have not been found yet, several classes, related to a different geometric or physics applications, have been introduced and studied. The present paper focuses on the existence and interplay between three of such classes - astheno-Kähler, SKT and balanced metrics on particular examples of compact complex non-Kähler manifolds.

An Hermitian metric g on a complex manifold (M, I) is called *astheno-Kähler* if its fundamental form $F(\cdot, \cdot) := g(I\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$dd^c F^{n-2} = 0,$$

Date: October 18, 2016.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C15 ; Secondary 53C55, 53C30.

Key words and phrases. astheno-Kähler, balanced, complex homogeneous space.

The work of the first and third authors was supported by the project FIRB “Geometria differenziale e teoria geometrica delle funzioni” and by G.N.S.A.G.A. of I.N.d.A.M. The work of the second author is supported by the Simons Foundation grant #246184.

where n is the complex dimension of M , $d^c = I^{-1}dI$ and I is naturally extended on differential forms. Such metrics were used by Jost and Yau in [36] to establish existence of Hermitian harmonic maps which led to some information about the fundamental group of the targets. Furthermore, Carlson and Toledo made use of astheno-Kähler metrics to get restrictions on the fundamental group of complex surfaces of class VII [15]. Examples of astheno-Kähler metrics are given by Calabi-Eckmann manifolds [39] and by nilmanifolds [25].

We further recall that an Hermitian metric g on a complex manifold (M, I) is called *strong Kähler with torsion* (SKT) or *pluriclosed*, if its fundamental form F satisfies $dd^c F = 0$, while it is called *balanced* if F is co-closed. For $n = 3$ the notions of astheno-Kähler and SKT metric coincide, while in higher dimensions they lie in different classes. The study of SKT metrics was initiated by Bismut in [9] and then it was pursued in many papers (see e.g. [29, 44, 23, 16] and the references therein). For a complex surface the notions of SKT metric and standard metric coincide and in view of [30] every compact complex surface has an SKT metric. In higher dimensions things are different and there are known examples of complex manifolds non-admitting SKT metrics. For instance, Verbitsky showed in [51] that the twistor space M of a compact, anti-selfdual Riemannian manifold admits an SKT metric if and only if it is Kähler (hence if and only if it is isomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^3 or to a flag space). This result is obtained by using rational connectedness of twistor spaces, proved by Campana in [14].

Examples of compact SKT manifolds are provided by principal toric bundles over compact Kähler manifolds [32], by nilmanifolds [23, 50, 20], and other examples can be constructed by using twist construction [45] or blow-ups [24].

Balanced geometry is probably the most studied, partly due to its relation with string theory and Strominger's system. The terminology was introduced by Michelsohn in [41], where balanced metrics were firstly studied in depth. In particular, Michelsohn showed an obstruction to the existence of balanced metrics by using currents. From Michelsohn's obstruction it follows that Calabi-Eckmann manifolds have no balanced metrics. Balanced metrics are stable under modifications, but not under deformations. Basic examples of balanced manifolds are given by twistor spaces. First it was known that twistor spaces of selfdual 4-manifolds are balanced [41] and later the same was proven for twistor spaces of a hyperkähler (see [37]) and quaternionic-Kähler manifolds ([42, 4]) and, most recently, by the twistor spaces of a compact hypercomplex manifold [49]. Taubes in [48] used the twistor space examples to show that every finitely generated group is a fundamental group of a balanced manifold.

It's known that an Hermitian metric on a compact manifold is simultaneously balanced and SKT if and only if it is Kähler. Furthermore, it was proved that many classes of examples of balanced manifolds do not admit any SKT metric. This led to a conjecture that a compact complex manifold admitting both an SKT and a balanced metric is necessarily Kähler. This is actually one of the problems proposed in [26].

In the same spirit, one can wonder if a compact complex non-Kähler manifold could admit both an astheno-Kähler and a balanced metric. This second problem arises from [46], where it's proved that the Calabi-Yau type equation introduced in [28] is solvable on astheno-Kähler manifolds admitting balanced metrics. There was an opinion, formulated as part of a folklore conjecture in [21], that as in the SKT case, a balanced compact complex manifold can not admit an astheno-Kähler, unless it is Kähler. Note that, as in the SKT case, a metric on a compact manifold cannot be both balanced and astheno-Kähler simultaneously.

In the present paper (Section 4) we construct an example of an 8-dimensional non-Kähler principal torus bundle over a torus admitting both an astheno-Kähler metric and a balanced metric. It is also a 2-step nilmanifold with holomorphically trivial canonical bundle. Examples of nilmanifolds in every dimension $2n \geq 8$ have been constructed independently by Latorre and Ugarte [38]. In the last section we also find a simply connected compact example of dimension 22 with non-vanishing first Chern class. It is the complex homogeneous space $SU(5)/T^2$ with a complex structure studied by H. C. Wang [52].

In Section 2 we show that twistor spaces over compact hyperkähler manifolds and twistor spaces over compact quaternion-Kähler manifolds cannot admit astheno-Kähler metrics. We prove this result by providing a new obstruction, which generalizes the one in [36], to the existence of astheno-Kähler metrics by using currents in the spirit of [41]. Furthermore, in Section 3 we show the existence of astheno-Kähler metrics on some principal toric bundles over Kähler manifolds generalizing the result of Matsuo for Calabi-Eckmann manifolds [39]. We use the construction to find astheno-Kähler metrics on the Lie groups $SU(3)$ and G_2 . The result gives examples of compact complex non-Kähler manifolds admitting both an astheno-Kähler and an SKT metric. In the last part of the paper we investigate the existence of balanced metrics on compact complex manifolds with an invariant volume form. Compact complex homogeneous spaces with invariant volumes have been classified in [33], showing that every compact complex homogeneous space with an invariant volume form is a principal homogeneous complex torus bundle over the product of a projective rational homogeneous space and a complex parallelizable manifold. We obtain a characterisation of the balanced condition in terms of the characteristic classes of the associated toric fibration and of the Kähler cone of the projective rational homogeneous space. As a consequence we show that if a compact complex homogeneous space M with invariant volume admits a balanced metric, then its first Chern class is non-vanishing.

Finally, in the last section we study the existence of SKT metrics on Wang C-spaces, i.e. on compact complex non-Kähler manifolds with finite fundamental group, admitting a transitive action by a compact Lie group of biholomorphisms. We show that every Wang C-space admitting an SKT metric can be covered with a product of a compact Lie group and a generalized flag manifold. In particular our example $SU(5)/T^2$ cannot admit SKT metrics.

2. NON-EXISTENCE OF ASTHENO-KÄHLER METRICS ON TWISTOR SPACES

In this section we show that twistor spaces of hyperkähler and quaternionic-Kähler manifolds do not admit any astheno-Kähler metric.

A *hyperkähler manifold* is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with holonomy contained in $Sp(n)$. The hyperkähler condition can be characterized by the existence of three complex structures I, J, K each one inducing a Kähler structure with g and satisfying the quaternionic relation $IJ = -JI = K$. As a consequence, for any $p = (a, b, c) \in S^2$, $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$, the endomorphism $I_p = aI + bJ + cK$ is an integrable almost complex structure. The twistor space $Tw(M)$ of M is then defined as the space $Tw(M) = M \times S^2$ endowed with the tautological complex structure $\mathcal{I}|_{(x,p)} = I_p|_{T_x M} \times I_{S^2}$. Note that there are defined the two natural projections $\pi_1 : Tw(M) \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_2 : Tw(M) \rightarrow S^2$ where the second one is holomorphic, but the first one is not.

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *quaternionic-Kähler* if its holonomy is $Sp(1)Sp(n)$. In analogy to the hyperkähler case, the quaternionic-Kähler condition can be characterized by the existence of a parallel sub-bundle D of $\text{End}(TM)$ locally spanned by a triple of almost complex structures I, J, K , each one compatible with g and satisfying the quaternionic relations $IJ = -JI = K$. Although the definition of quaternionic-Kähler manifold is similar to the one of hyperkähler manifold, the geometric properties of these two kind of manifolds are rather different. One common feature, however is the existence of a twistor space. The twistor space $Q(M)$ of a quaternionic-Kähler (M, g) is defined as the S^2 -bundle over M with fiber the $\sqrt{2}$ -sphere in D naturally identified with the set of almost complex structures $S = \{aI + bJ + cK \mid a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1\}$. Although I, J, K are locally defined, S doesn't depend on their choice. The twistor space $Q(M)$ has a tautological almost complex structure \mathcal{I} , similar to the one defined in the hyperkähler case. It uses a splitting $TQ(M) = H \oplus T_{S^2}$, where H is a horizontal subspace, defined via the Levi-Civita connection of g which defines one on D . It is known that \mathcal{I} is integrable and the metric $g_Q = \pi^*g|_H \oplus tg_{S^2}$ is Hermitian for every positive t . Hyperkähler manifolds are Ricci-flat, while the quaternionic-Kähler ones are Einstein. In particular quaternionic-Kähler metrics have constant scalar curvature and are called of positive or negative type depending on their sign. For a positive quaternionic-Kähler manifold M , the metric g_Q and the structure \mathcal{I} define a Kähler structure for an appropriate choice of t . In other words, if W is the fundamental form of g and \mathcal{I} , $dW = 0$ for some t . In [18] it is computed $dd^c W$, from this computation it follows that $dd^c W$ is weakly positive if the scalar curvature of the base is negative.

In order to show that twistor spaces do not admit astheno-Kähler metrics, we prove that they do not satisfy a natural obstruction for the existence of such metrics. In [36] Jost and Yau show that on a compact complex manifold admitting an astheno-Kähler metric every holomorphic 1-form is closed, giving an obstruction to the existence of astheno-Kähler metrics. We generalize the obstruction of Jost and Yau in the spirit of [35].

Here we recall that a (p, p) -current on a complex manifold (M, I) is an element of the Frechet space dual to the space of $(n - p, n - p)$ complex forms $\Lambda^{n-p, n-p}(M)$. In the compact case, the space of (p, p) -currents could be identified with the space of (p, p) -forms with distribution coefficients and the duality is given by integration. So for any (p, p) -current T and a form α of type $(n - p, n - p)$ we have

$$\langle T, \alpha \rangle = \int_M T \wedge \alpha.$$

The operators d and d^c can be extended to (p, p) -currents by using the duality induced by the integration, i.e., dT and $d^c T$ are respectively defined via the relations

$$\langle dT, \beta \rangle = - \int_M T \wedge d\beta, \quad \langle d^c T, \beta \rangle = - \int_M T \wedge d^c \beta.$$

A (p, p) -current T is called *weakly positive* if

$$i^{n-p} \int_M T \wedge \alpha_1 \wedge \overline{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{n-p} \wedge \overline{\alpha_{n-p}} \geq 0,$$

for every $(1, 0)$ -forms $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-p}$ with inequality being strict for at least one choice of α_i 's. The current T is called *positive* if the inequality is strict for every non-zero $\alpha_1 \wedge \overline{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_{n-p} \wedge \overline{\alpha_{n-p}}$. From [5, Theorem 2.4] (with an obvious change) we have the following:

Theorem 2.1. *A compact complex manifold admits a positive dd^c -closed (p, p) -form if and only if it does not admit a dd^c -exact weakly positive $(n - p, n - p)$ -current.*

Since F^{n-2} is positive, we have the following useful corollary, to which we provide an independent proof.

Corollary 2.1. *If a compact complex manifold M admits a weakly positive and dd^c -exact and non-vanishing $(2, 2)$ -current, then it does not admit an astheno-Kähler metric.*

Proof. Suppose $dd^c T$ is weakly positive, where we consider T as a form with distribution coefficients. Then for an astheno-Kähler metric with fundamental form F we have by integration by parts

$$0 < \int_M dd^c T \wedge F^{n-2} = \int_M T \wedge dd^c(F^{n-2}) = 0,$$

which gives a contradiction. □

Note that for a holomorphic 1-form α , the form $i\partial\alpha \wedge \overline{\partial\alpha} = dd^c(\alpha \wedge \overline{\alpha})$ is weakly positive, which leads to the obstruction of Jost and Yau. Furthermore, we remark that the statement of Corollary 2.1 cannot be reversed, since in general not every positive $(n - 2, n - 2)$ -form arises as $(n - 2)$ -power of a positive $(1, 1)$ -form.

Then we can prove the non-existence of an astheno-Kähler metric on twistor spaces.

Proposition 2.1. *The twistor space $Tw(M)$ of a compact hyperkähler manifold does not admit any astheno-Kähler metric, compatible with the tautological complex structure.*

Similarity, the twistor space $Q(M)$ of a compact quaternionic-Kähler manifold of negative scalar curvature does not admit an astheno-Kähler metric, compatible with the tautological complex structure.

Proof. Let (M, I, J, K, g) be a compact hyperkähler manifold and let $Tw(M) = M \times S^2$ be its twistor space. Then $G = g_M + g_{S^2}$ gives an Hermitian metric on $Tw(M)$, compatible with the tautological complex structure. We denote by W the fundamental form of G . In view of [37], given $(p, r) \in Tw(M)$ one has

$$dd^c W_{(p,r)} = F_p \wedge \omega_r,$$

where $F_p(X, Y) = g(I_p X, Y)$ and ω is the Fubini-Study form on $S^2 \equiv \mathbb{CP}^1$. Therefore $dd^c W$ is a weakly-positive non-vanishing $(2, 2)$ -current and Corollary 2.1 implies that $Tw(M)$ has not astheno-Kähler metrics.

About the quaternion-Kähler case, using the splitting $TQ(M) = H \oplus TS^2$ one can define the 1-parameter family of \mathcal{I} -compatible Hermitian metrics $g_Q = \pi^* g|_H \oplus t g_{S^2}$, where t is a positive parameter.

Let us denote by W_Q the fundamental form of g_Q . Then in view of [18, Theorem B] if the scalar curvature of g is negative, then $dd^c W_Q$ is weakly positive and, consequently, the Corollary 2.1 can be applied also in this case and the claim follows. \square

3. ASTHENO-KÄHLER METRICS ON TORIC BUNDLES

In [39] Matsuo showed the existence of astheno-Kähler metrics on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds. Since Calabi-Eckmann manifolds are principal T^2 -bundles over $\mathbb{CP}^n \times \mathbb{CP}^m$, it's quite natural to extend the Matsuo's result to principal torus fibrations over compact Kähler manifolds.

Let $\pi : P \rightarrow M$ be a principal torus bundle over a Kähler manifold (M, J, F) equipped with 2 connections 1-forms θ_1, θ_2 whose curvatures ω_1, ω_2 are of type $(1, 1)$ and are pull-backs from forms α_1 and α_2 on M . Under these assumptions P inherits the complex structure I defined as the pull-back of J to the horizontal subspaces and as $I(\theta_1) = \theta_2$ along vertical directions.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $n \geq 4$ and*

$$(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) \wedge F^{n-3} = 0.$$

Then (P, I) has an astheno-Kähler metric.

Proof. Let

$$\Omega = \pi^*(F) + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

Then Ω is an Hermitian form on (P, I) and from $d\theta_i = \omega_i$ and $dF = 0$, we get

$$d\Omega = \pi^*(dF) + \omega_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_1 \wedge \omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \theta_2 - \theta_1 \wedge \omega_2,$$

which implies

$$d^c\Omega = -\omega_1 \wedge \theta_1 - \theta_2 \wedge \omega_2.$$

Therefore

$$dd^c\Omega = -\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2$$

and

$$d\Omega \wedge d^c\Omega = (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2.$$

This leads to the following simplified expression for $dd^c\Omega^{n-2}$

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c\Omega^{n-2} &= (n-2)d(d^c\Omega \wedge \Omega^{n-3}) \\ &= (dd^c\Omega \wedge \Omega + (n-3)d\Omega \wedge d^c\Omega) \wedge \Omega^{n-4} \\ &= (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge (-\pi^*(F) - \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 + (n-3)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2) \wedge \Omega^{n-4} \\ &= (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge (-\pi^*(F) + (n-4)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2) \wedge \Omega^{n-4}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{n-4} &= (\pi^*(F) + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2)^{n-4} = \pi^*(F^{n-4}) + (n-4)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \wedge \pi^*(F^{n-5}) \\ &= ((\pi^*(F) + (n-4)\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2)) \wedge \pi^*(F^{n-5}), \end{aligned}$$

so after substitution we get

$$(1) \quad dd^c\Omega^{n-2} = -(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge \pi^*(F^{n-3}).$$

In view of the last equation and the fact that $\omega_i = \pi^*(\alpha_i)$, we get

$$dd^c\Omega^{n-2} = 0 \iff (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) \wedge F^{n-3} = 0,$$

which implies the statement. \square

Remark 3.1. The same calculation will be valid for $dd^c\Omega^k$, for any $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. In particular, if a toric bundle with 2-dimensional fiber over a Kähler base admits an SKT metric, then it is astheno-Kähler. The situation is similar to the ones in the examples of nilmanifolds obtained in [25].

Proposition 3.1 includes the case of Calabi-Eckmann manifolds studied in [39]. In the Calabi-Eckmann case we have $\omega_1 = \Phi_1 + a\Phi_2$ and $\omega_2 = b\Phi_2$, where Φ_1 and Φ_2 are the Fubini-Study forms on the factors. Note that the metric arising in this case is not SKT. Furthermore, other examples can be constructed by using linear combinations of the forms θ_1 and θ_2 with constant coefficients.

4. INTERPLAY BETWEEN SPECIAL CLASSES OF HERMITIAN METRICS

A problem in complex non-Kähler geometry is to establish if the existence of two Hermitian metrics belonging to two different classes on a compact complex manifold impose some restrictions.

For instance in [27] it has been conjectured that the existence of a balanced and an SKT metric on a compact complex manifold (M, I) , forces M to be Kähler. Analogue problems make sense by replacing the SKT and the balanced assumption with other different classes of Hermitian metrics.

In this section we provide examples of compact manifolds admitting both SKT and astheno-Kähler metrics and an example having both balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics.

Basic examples of SKT manifolds are given by the compact Lie groups endowed with the Killing (biinvariant) metric and any of the compatible Samelson's complex structures [43]. Samelson's construction depends on a choice of the maximal torus and such complex structures are compatible if they are compatible with the metric restricted to this torus. Let G be a simple compact Lie group and T^n be some of its maximal toral subgroups, so that $Fl = G/T^n$ is a flag manifold. Assume that n is even. Then the projection $\pi : G \rightarrow Fl$ (called Tits fibration) is as above and holomorphic for the Samelson's complex structures. However the metric induced on Fl from the Killing metric on G is not Kähler. The Kähler ones arise from forms on adjoint orbits (see [8]). We use the relation between the two metrics in the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *An even-dimensional compact semisimple Lie group of rank two endowed with its Samelson's complex structure admits an astheno-Kähler non-SKT metric while its canonical SKT metric is not astheno-Kähler. In particular, the Lie groups $SU(3)$ and G_2 admit astheno-Kähler metrics.*

Proof. Let G be a compact Lie group of real dimension $2n$ and let T^2 be the maximal torus of G .

Let $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T^2 = Fl$ be the holomorphic projection onto the corresponding flag manifold as mentioned above. Let α_1 and α_2 be invariant representatives of the characteristic classes of π and g_1 be the bi-invariant metric on G . We suppose that the metric g_1 is compatible with the complex structure on G so that if Ω_1 is the fundamental form, it is well-known that $dd^c\Omega_1 = 0$. Moreover g_1 induces a naturally-reductive metric on Fl with form F_1 and such that $\Omega_1 = F_1 + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$, where θ_1 and θ_2 are orthonormal invariant 1-forms on G with respect to g_1 and $I(\theta_1) = \theta_2$. Then $d\theta_i = \omega_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, where ω_i are independent linear combinations of α_i . We can always achieve this, since there are invariant 1-forms $\bar{\theta}_i$ with $d\bar{\theta}_i = \alpha_i$. This is an example of the toric bundle construction from the previous section and we have

$$0 = dd^c\Omega_1 = \pi^*(dd^c F_1) + \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2$$

i.e.,

$$(2) \quad \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 = -dd^c(\pi^*(F_1)).$$

Now we consider the Kähler-Einstein metric g_2 on Fl and denote by F_2 its fundamental form. Let Ω_2 be the Hermitian form on G defined as

$$\Omega_2 = \pi^*(F_2) + \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2$$

We next show that $dd^c\Omega_2^{n-2} = 0$. By equation (1), Ω_2^{n-2} is dd^c -closed if and only if

$$(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge F_2^{n-3} = 0.$$

But (2) implies

$$(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge F_2^{n-3} = -dd^c F_1 \wedge F_2^{n-3} = -d(d^c F_1 \wedge F_2).$$

Hence $(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) \wedge F_2^{n-3}$ is exact on Fl . It is also invariant, so it is constant multiple of the volume form. Since its integral is zero it vanishes which implies that Ω_2^2 is dd^c -closed. Since $SU(3)$ and G_2 are even-dimensional and of rank 2, the proposition is proved. \square

Note that the SKT form Ω_1 is not astheno-Kähler and Ω_2 is not SKT. Metrics which are both SKT and astheno-Kähler are constructed in [25].

Example 4.1. Next we provide an example of a compact 8-dimensional complex manifold admitting a balanced and an astheno-Kähler metric. The example is the total space of a principal T^2 -bundle over a 6-dimensional torus. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow T^6$ be the principal T^2 bundle over T^6 with characteristic classes

$$a_1 = dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 - 2dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3, \quad a_2 = dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 - dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3,$$

where (z_1, z_2, z_3) are complex coordinates on T^6 . Consider on T^6 the standard complex structure and let

$$F_1 = dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 + dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3, \quad F_2 = dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \wedge d\bar{z}_2 + 5dz_3 \wedge d\bar{z}_3,$$

then the a_i 's are traceless with respect to F_1 and $(a_1^2 + a_2^2)F_2 = 0$. Let θ^j be connection 1-forms such that $d\theta^j = \pi^*a_j$ and define

$$\omega_1 = \pi^*F_1 + \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2, \quad \omega_2 = \pi^*F_2 + \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2.$$

The 2-forms ω_1 and ω_2 define respectively a balanced metric g_1 and an astheno-Kähler metric g_2 on M compatible with the integrable complex structure so that the projection map π is holomorphic. M can be alternatively described as the 2-step nilmanifold G/Γ , where G is the 2-step nilpotent Lie group with structure equations

$$\begin{cases} de^j = 0, & j = 1, \dots, 6, \\ de^7 = e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 - 2e^5 \wedge e^6, \\ de^8 = e^3 \wedge e^4 - e^5 \wedge e^6 \end{cases}$$

and Γ is a co-compact discrete subgroup, endowed with the invariant complex structure I such that $Ie_1 = e_2, Ie_3 = e_4, Ie_5 = e_6, Ie_7 = e_8$. In this setting the 2-form $e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 + e^5 \wedge e^6 + e^7 \wedge e^8$ defines a balanced metric and $e^1 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^4 + 5e^5 \wedge e^6 + e^7 \wedge e^8$ gives an astheno-Kähler metric.

Remark 4.1. The example above has holomorphically trivial canonical bundle and is not simply connected. Other examples on nilmanifolds in every dimension greater or equal to 8 are found by Latorre and Ugarte [38]. More examples could be found on toric bundles with base a Kähler manifold which has known cohomology ring. In the next section we provide such example on toric bundle over a flag manifold.

5. BALANCED METRICS ON COMPACT COMPLEX HOMOGENEOUS SPACES WITH INVARIANT VOLUME

A $2n$ -dimensional manifold M is a *complex homogeneous space with invariant volume* if there is a complex structure and a nonzero $2n$ -form on M both preserved by a transitive Lie transformation group.

Compact complex homogeneous spaces with invariant volumes have been classified in [33], showing that every compact complex homogeneous space with an invariant volume form is a principal homogeneous complex torus bundle over the product of a projective rational homogeneous space and a complex parallelizable manifold.

A *rational homogeneous manifold* Q (called also *generalized flag manifold*) is by definition a compact complex manifold that can be realized as a closed orbit of a linear algebraic group in some projective space. Equivalently, $Q = S/P$ where S is a complex semisimple Lie group and P is a parabolic subgroup, i.e., a subgroup of S that contains a maximal connected solvable subgroup (i.e. a Borel subgroup). A *complex parallelizable manifold* is a compact quotient of a complex Lie group by a discrete subgroup.

Chronologically, Matsushima first considered the special case of a semisimple group action, proving that if G/H is a compact complex homogeneous space with a G -invariant volume, then G/H is a holomorphic fiber bundle over a rational homogeneous space and the fiber is a complex reductive parallelizable manifold as a fiber. This kind of fibration is called *Tits fibration*.

Matsushima's result was improved by Guan in [33]

Theorem 5.1. *Every compact complex homogeneous space M with an invariant volume form is a principal homogeneous complex torus bundle*

$$\pi: M \rightarrow G/K \times D$$

over the product of a projective rational homogeneous space and a complex parallelizable manifold.

In particular, when M is invariant under a complex semisimple Lie group the Tits fibration is a torus fibration.

Furthermore, in [33] it is shown that the bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow G/K \times D$ arises as a factor of the product of two principal complex torus bundles. One is $\pi_1 : G/H \rightarrow G/K$, which is the Tits fibration for G/H with fiber tori, and the other is $\pi_2 : D_1 \rightarrow D$, where D_1 is again compact complex parallelizable and the fiber is a complex torus, which is in the center of D_1 . The action for the factor bundle is the anti-diagonal one. The projection $M \rightarrow D$ is the Tits fibration with complex parallelizable fibers.

The structure of $\pi_1 : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is discussed in [52]. The compact complex homogeneous spaces G/H with finite fundamental group and G compact are called by Wang [52] *C-spaces*. They fall in two classes Kählerian C-spaces and non-Kählerian C-spaces. The Kählerian C-spaces are well studied and are precisely the generalized flag manifolds or in case H and K are connected, $H = K$. More generally, by [34] a compact complex homogeneous space does not admit a symplectic structure unless it is a product of a flag manifold and a complex parallelizable manifold. In the sequel we'll use the terminology *Wang's C-spaces* for non-Kählerian C-spaces.

The characteristic classes of $\pi : M \rightarrow G/K \times D$ are $(\omega_1 + \alpha_1, \omega_2 + \alpha_2, \dots, \omega_{2k} + \alpha_{2k})$, where $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{2k})$ are the characteristic classes of $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ which are (1,1) and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2k})$ are the characteristic classes of $D_1 \rightarrow D$. Using averaging one can show that there is a unique G -invariant representative in each class ω_k . The second fibration is a complex torus fibration and its (complex) characteristic classes are of type (2,0) with respect to the complex structure on the base D . In particular α_i are of type (2,0)+(0,2), i.e. they have representatives of this type.

We start the characterization of the balanced condition with the following observation:

Lemma 5.1. *Assume there is an invariant Hermitian metric on the generalized flag manifold with respect to which all traces of the characteristic class ω_i vanish. Then M admits a balanced metric.*

Proof. We know that M is the total space of the principal toric fiber construction $\pi : M \rightarrow G/K \times D$. Suppose that $g = g_1 + g_2$ is an invariant Hermitian metric on the base manifold $G/K \times D$ with a fundamental form $F = F_1 + F_2$. In view [1, Theorem 2.2] every complex parallelizable manifold has a balanced metric and we may assume g_2 balanced. Consider the Hermitian metric g_M on M defined by

$$(3) \quad g_M := \pi^* g + \sum_{l=1}^{2k} (\theta_l \otimes \theta_l),$$

where θ_i are connection 1-forms with $J\theta_{2j-1} = \theta_{2j}$. Then the fundamental form of the metric g_M is given by

$$F_M = \pi^* F + \sum_{l=1}^k (\theta_{2l-1} \wedge \theta_{2l}).$$

From [32, formula (4)] the co-differential of the fundamental form F_M on M is given by

$$\delta F_M = \pi^*(\delta F) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} g(F, \omega_i + \alpha_i) \theta_i = \pi^*(\delta F) + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} g(F, \omega_i) \theta_i$$

Since α_i are of type (2,0) and (0,2), their traces with respect to any (1,1) form vanish. From the formula above, if there is a balanced metric on the generalized flag manifold G/K such that all traces of the classes ω_i vanish, then M admits a balanced metric. The claim follows since every invariant Hermitian metric on a generalized flag manifold is balanced (see Lemma 5.3 below). \square

By [53, Theorem 8.9] on a reductive homogeneous almost complex manifold G/K such that the isotropy representation of K has no invariant 1-dimensional subspaces, the fundamental form of every invariant almost Hermitian metric is co-closed. This holds, for example, if the isotropy representation is irreducible or if G and K are reductive Lie groups of equal rank. Moreover, Theorem 4.5 in [53] gives a criterion to establish if a reductive homogeneous almost Hermitian manifold G/K is Hermitian. Therefore, applying Theorem 8.9 of [53] to the generalized flag manifolds we have

Lemma 5.2. *Every invariant Hermitian metric on a generalized flag manifold G/K is balanced.*

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 5.2. *Let M be a complex compact homogeneous space admitting an invariant volume form and $\pi : M \rightarrow G/K$ be its Tits fibration with G/K rational homogeneous and $\pi_1 : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ be the associated torus fibration of the Guan's representation of M , with characteristic classes $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$. Then M admits a balanced metric if and only if the span of $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ does not intersect the closure of the Kähler cone of G/K .*

Note that the first Chern class of a generalized flag manifold is positive, so it is in the Kähler cone and the first Chern class of M vanishes, iff the first Chern class of the base is in the span of ω_i . Therefore, from here and [31] we have:

Corollary 5.1. *If M admits a balanced metric, then $c_1(M) \neq 0$. In particular compact semisimple Lie groups do not admit any balanced metric compatible with Samelson's complex structure.*

For the proof of the Theorem we need some facts about the algebraic structure of the generalized flag manifolds and their cones of invariant Hermitian and Kähler metrics.

Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group and H a closed subgroup such that G/H is a complex homogeneous space. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} be the corresponding Lie algebras and

\mathfrak{g}^c , \mathfrak{h}^c their complexifications. As a complex manifold $G/H = G^c/H^c$ where G^c and H^c are complex Lie groups with Lie algebras \mathfrak{g}^c and \mathfrak{h}^c and G is a compact real form of G^c , while $H = H^c \cap G$. By a result of Wang [52], there is an inclusion $\mathfrak{h}_{ss}^c \subset \mathfrak{h}^c \subset \mathfrak{k}^c$, where \mathfrak{k}^c is a parabolic subalgebra, which is a centralizer of a torus and $\mathfrak{k}_{ss}^c = \mathfrak{h}_{ss}^c$. Here the subscript “ss” denotes the semisimple part. In particular

$$\mathfrak{h}^c = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{h}_{ss}^c$$

where \mathfrak{a} is a commutative subalgebra of some Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{t} of \mathfrak{g}^c , which is also the maximal toral subalgebra of \mathfrak{k}^c . The parabolic algebra \mathfrak{k}^c is $\mathfrak{k}^c = \mathfrak{t} + \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^c$ and is equal to the normalizer of \mathfrak{h}^c in \mathfrak{g}^c . The sum here is not direct because part of \mathfrak{t} is contained in \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^c . Let K^c be a parabolic subgroup of G^c with algebra \mathfrak{k}^c and G^c/K^c is the corresponding generalized flag manifold. If $K = G \cap K^c$, then the induced map $\pi_1 : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is the fibration from above. Fix a system of roots $R \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ defined by \mathfrak{t} in \mathfrak{g}^c . There is also a distinguished set of simple roots Π in R which forms a basis for \mathfrak{t}^* as a (complex) vector space and defines a splitting $R = R^+ \cup R^-$ of R into positive and negative roots.

Now every invariant complex structure on the generalized flag manifold is determined by an ordering of the system of roots of \mathfrak{g}^c . The complex structure on G/K defines a subset Π_0 in Π which corresponds to \mathfrak{k}^c . This correspondence determines the second cohomology of G/K and we provide some details about it. In general \mathfrak{k}_{ss}^c is determined by the span of all roots R_0 in R which are positive with respect to Π_0 . Then the complement $\Pi - \Pi_0 = \Pi'$ provides a basis for the center ζ of \mathfrak{k}^c and there is an identification $span_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Pi') = H^2(G/K, \mathbb{Z})$. The identification (see for example [2]) is:

$$\xi \rightarrow \frac{i}{2\pi} d\xi,$$

where ξ is considered as a left invariant 1-form on G which is a subgroup of G^c and $d\xi$ is $ad(\mathfrak{k})$ -invariant, hence defines a 2-form on G/K . This form is obviously closed and in fact defines non-zero element in $H^2(G/K, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover every class in $H^2(G/K, \mathbb{Z})$ has unique representative of this form. It is known that the Kähler cone can be identified with a positive Weyl chamber determined by the order in Π' .

For the description of the invariant Hermitian metrics on G/K we need first the (reductive) decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^c = \mathfrak{k}^c \oplus \mathfrak{m}^c,$$

where $\mathfrak{m}^c = \mathfrak{m}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{m}^-$ and $\mathfrak{m}^\pm = \sum_{\alpha \in R'^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. Here R''^+ and R'^- are the positive and negative roots in $R' = R - R_0$ and \mathfrak{g}_α are the corresponding root spaces. The complex structure on G/K is given by $I|_{\mathfrak{m}^\pm} = \pm i Id$. Now let $\mathfrak{m} = \sum \mathfrak{m}_i$ be the decomposition of \mathfrak{m} into irreducible components under the action of \mathfrak{k}^c . Let B be the metric given by the negative of the Cartan-Killing form. Any invariant Hermitian metric on G/K

is given by positive numbers $\lambda_i > 0$ as follows:

$$g = \sum_i \lambda_i B_i,$$

where $B_i = B|_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$. Its fundamental form is $F = \sum_i \lambda_i F_i$ with $F_i = B_i \circ I$.

It can be written also as

$$(4) \quad F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}^+} -i\lambda_\alpha E_\alpha^* \wedge E_{-\alpha}^*$$

where E_α are unit (and necessary orthogonal) vectors in \mathfrak{g}_α and E_α^* their duals. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\beta$. Then the condition $dF = 0$ is equivalent (see [3]) to the condition:

For every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{m}^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \mathfrak{m}^+$, $\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_\beta = \lambda_{\alpha+\beta}$.

We can summarize the previous observations as:

Lemma 5.3. *The cone of the invariant Hermitian metrics (Hermitian cone for short) on the generalized flag manifold G/K is identified with the first octant in the space of all invariant $(1,1)$ forms by assigning to each metric its fundamental form. The cone of the invariant Kähler metrics on the generalized flag manifold G/K is obtained by intersecting the Hermitian cone with the linear subspace of all closed invariant $(1,1)$ -forms.*

Now we prove Theorem 5.2.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Suppose that the span C of the characteristic classes ω_i does not intersect the Kähler cone. Without loss of generality assume that ω_i are invariant. Then clearly C does not intersect the Hermitian cone also. Then from Lemma 5.3 and an elementary geometric consideration, there is an element ω in the Hermitian cone, which is orthogonal to all ω_i . This is equivalent to all ω_i being traceless with respect to F . This F is a fundamental form of a balanced metric g on G/K by Lemma 5.2, which by the toric bundle construction gives rise to a balanced metric on G/H . Then by Lemma 5.1 we have a balanced metric on M .

In the opposite direction, if there is such a class, it defines a non-negative and non-zero form α which pulls back to an exact form on G/H . We can also see that its pullback to M is exact too. But as in [41], $0 = \int_M \pi^*(\alpha) \wedge F^{n-1} > 0$ for any positive F on M with $dF^{n-1} = 0$. So such ω does not exist. \square

Below we provide an example of a complex compact homogeneous Wang's C-space carrying both balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics. In particular this gives an example of compact simply connected non-Kähler complex manifold admitting balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics, but no SKT metric. More precisely we have:

Proposition 5.1. *The homogeneous space $SU(5)/T^2$ for appropriate action of T^2 is simply connected and has an invariant complex structure which admits both balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics, but doesn't admit any SKT metric.*

Proof. Consider the flag manifold $SU(5)/T^4$. Then a reductive decomposition for this homogeneous space is $\mathfrak{su}(5) = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{t} is the subspace of traceless diagonal matrices with imaginary entries and \mathfrak{m} is the subspace of skew-Hermitian matrices with vanishing diagonal entries. After complexification, a standard choice of simple roots is the following: let a_{ij} be the basis of $\mathfrak{gl}(5, \mathbb{C})^*$ dual to the standard one in $\mathfrak{gl}(5, \mathbb{C})$ containing matrices with 1 at (i, j) th place and all other entries 0. Then a set of positive roots for $\mathfrak{sl}(5, \mathbb{C})$ is a_{ij} for $i < j$ and $e_{i,i+1} = a_{ii} - a_{i+1,i+1}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. According to the standard theory (see e.g. [12]), the forms $de_{i,i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$ form a basis of $H^2(SU(5)/T^4)$.

Moreover, the complex structure on $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by $I(a_{ij}) = ia_{ij}$ for $i < j$ and $I(a_{ij}) = -ia_{ij}$ for $i > j$. Denote by α_{ij} the 2-form $\frac{i}{2}a_{ij} \wedge a_{ji}$. For $i < j$ these are $(1,1)$ -forms and the Kähler form for the bi-invariant metric on $SU(5)/T^4$ is given by $\sum_{i < j} \alpha_{ij}$.

Note that $[A_{ij}, A_{ji}] = A_{ii} - A_{jj}$, so

$$de_{i,i+1}(A_{jk}, A_{kj}) = -e_{i,i+1}([A_{jk}, A_{kj}]) = -(\delta_i^j - \delta_i^k) + (\delta_{i+1}^j - \delta_{i+1}^k).$$

Therefore, by computing $de_{i,i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, we get that the following four 2-forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= 2\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} + \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{15} - \alpha_{23} - \alpha_{24} - \alpha_{25}, \\ \omega_2 &= -\alpha_{12} + 2\alpha_{23} + \alpha_{24} + \alpha_{25} - \alpha_{34} - \alpha_{35} + \alpha_{13}, \\ \omega_3 &= -\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{23} + 2\alpha_{34} + \alpha_{14} + \alpha_{24} + \alpha_{35} - \alpha_{45}, \\ \omega_4 &= \alpha_{15} + \alpha_{25} + \alpha_{35} + 2\alpha_{45} - \alpha_{14} - \alpha_{24} - \alpha_{34} \end{aligned}$$

form a basis of $H^2(SU(5)/T^4)$.

We have that $\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4$ is weakly positive definite with 3 zero directions and $3\omega_1 + 5\omega_2 + 6\omega_3 + 6\omega_4$ is strictly positive. Now with respect to the bi-invariant metric (which is balanced), the traces of ω_i are all equal to 2. In view of Proposition 3.1 in order to show the existence of an astheno-Kähler metric on $SU(5)/T^2$ we need to find two traceless classes. If we consider $F_1 = \omega_1 + \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4$, $F_2 = 3\omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3 - \omega_4$ and the strictly positive 2-form $\Omega = 3\omega_1 + 5\omega_2 + 6\omega_3 + 6\omega_4 + 10(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 + \omega_4)$, we get that $F_1^2 \wedge \Omega^8 > 0$ and $F_2^2 \wedge \Omega^8 < 0$. It is then sufficient to change either F_1 or F_2 by a constant to have them satisfying the condition $(F_1^2 + F_2^2) \wedge \Omega^8 = 0$. Furthermore $SU(5)/T^2$ has a balanced metric (here we can for instance apply Theorem 5.2). Moreover, it does not admit any Kähler structure.

Note that the forms $\frac{1}{2\pi i}F_1$ and $\frac{1}{2\pi i}F_2$ from above define integer classes and a lot of information about the topology of the space $SU(5)/T^2$ as a principal toric bundle over the flag $SU(5)/T^4$ can be obtained. In particular it is simply connected and with non-vanishing first Chern class.

Using the obstruction found by Cavalcanti [16, Theorem 5.16] we can now show that $SU(5)/T^2$ does not admit any SKT metric, since it can not have symplectic forms. Indeed, it is possible to prove that $h^{3,0}(SU(5)/T^2)$ and $h^{2,1}(SU(5)/T^2)$ both vanish. To calculate the Hodge numbers we use a refinement of the Borel's spectral sequence

in [47] which provides an explicit model for the Dolbeault cohomology of principal torus bundles. Recall that a Dolbeault model of a compact complex manifold M is a morphism $\phi : (\Lambda V, \delta) \rightarrow (\Omega^c(M), \bar{\partial})$ from a commutative differential bi-graded algebra $V = \bigoplus_{p,q} V^{p,q}$, $\delta(V^{p,q}) \subset V^{p,q+1}$, to the Dolbeault complex of M which preserves the grading and induces an isomorphism on the cohomology. Then Proposition 8 of [47] describes the Dolbeault model of a toric bundle M over a Kähler base B with $H^2(B, \mathbb{C}) \equiv H^{1,1}(B)$ in terms of the de Rham model of B . It follows that the Dolbeault cohomology $H^{3,0}(SU(5)/T^2)$ vanishes, because the flag manifold $SU(5)/T^4$ has no $(2,0)$ -cohomology. Also any element $\alpha \in H^{2,1}$ has the form $\alpha = \beta \wedge \omega$ with β being the $(1,0)$ -form and $\bar{\partial}\beta = F_1 + iF_2$ and $\omega = \sum_i a_i \gamma_i$. Then $\bar{\partial}\alpha = (F_1 + iF_2) \wedge (\sum_i a_i \gamma_i)$, for some generator γ_i such that $\sum_i \gamma_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} \gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j = 0$. We can check that the quadratic form $\sum_i x_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$ has maximal rank. However $(F_1 + iF_2) \wedge (\sum_i a_i \gamma_i)$ corresponds to a quadratic form of lower rank. So they can not be proportional. This shows that $h^{2,1} = h^{3,0} = 0$. On the other side, every class in $H^2(SU(5)/T^2)$ is a pullback of a class on the base flag manifold, so it can not have a maximal rank. Hence $SU(5)/T^2$ doesn't admit a symplectic structure (this is coherent with [34]). \square

No general result is known for the existence of SKT metrics on complex homogeneous spaces. Complex parallelizable manifolds cannot admit SKT metrics, by using the argument as in [19] for the invariant case and the symmetrization process in [6, 22, 50]. Indeed, the existence of an SKT metric, implies the existence of a unitary coframe of invariant $(1,0)$ -forms $\{\zeta_i\}$ such that $\bar{\partial}\zeta_i = 0$, so we can suppose that the fundamental form of the SKT metric is given by $\frac{i}{2} \sum_i \zeta_i \wedge \bar{\zeta}_i$, which cannot be dd^c -closed. Moreover, by [39] a complex parallelizable manifold cannot admit any astheno-Kähler metric (unless the space is a complex torus). In the next section we generalize the nonexistence of SKT metrics on $SU(5)/T^2$ to any Wang C-space.

6. SKT METRICS ON NON-KÄHLER C-SPACES

Recall that a Wang C-space (or non-Kähler C-space) is a compact complex manifold admitting a transitive action by a compact Lie group of biholomorphisms and finite fundamental group. According to Wang [52], such a space admits a transitive action of a compact semisimple Lie group. The aim of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 6.1. *Every SKT Wang C-space is (up to a finite cover) the product of a compact Lie group and a generalized flag manifold.*

Before giving the proof of the theorem we need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $M = G/H$ be a Wang C-space. Then $h^{3,0}(M) = 0$.*

Proof. The Hodge numbers of M can be computed by using the Tanre model [47] for the Dolbeault cohomology of principal torus bundles. As in the proof of Proposition

5.1 we use the Tits fibration $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ and the fact that $h^{2,0}(G/K)$ vanishes. Indeed, by [12][14.10] G/K is a rational projective algebraic manifold over \mathbb{C} all of whose cohomology is of Hodge type (p, p) . Now the Lemma follows from [47], Proposition 8. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let $M = G/H$ be a Wang C-space with G simple. Then $h^{2,1}(M) = 0$ unless H is discrete.*

Proof. We first consider the case H abelian. In this case H is contained in some maximal tori T and the Tits fibration is $G/H \rightarrow G/T$. From a classical result ([10]) the cohomology ring $H^*(G/T, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by the products of ω_i 's. The only relations among them are given by $Q_i(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = 0$ where $Q_i[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are all polynomials invariant under the Weyl group W_G of G acting on $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and the product in $H^*(G/T, \mathbb{C})$ is the wedge product of the corresponding representatives. According to a result by Chevalley [17], for a simple Lie group G , there exists up to a constant only one W_G -invariant quadratic polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$; p is the polynomial corresponding to the Killing form of the Lie algebra of G . In particular, $H^4(G/T, \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to the space of homogeneous quadratic polynomials factored by p . Moreover p is negative definite over real numbers and, consequently, it has a maximal rank over complex numbers.

Assume now that $h^{2,1}(G/H) \neq 0$ and let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ be the generators of the space $H^{1,1}(G/T, \mathbb{C})$. From Tanre's model it follows that there exists a quadratic relation involving the ω_i . Indeed, elements of $H^{2,1}(G/H, \mathbb{C})$ are of the form $\sum_i \alpha_i \wedge \omega_i$, where α_i are some vertical $(1, 0)$ -forms. Let $Q(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = \sum q_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j$ as above, where Q is a quadratic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Since up to a constant there exists only one W_G -invariant quadratic polynomial p in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $Q = cp$ for a constant c and Q has a maximal rank too. But if the Tits fibration has positive-dimensional fiber, then Q depends on y_1, \dots, y_m - variables with $m < n$, where y_i 's are linear functions of x_i 's. In particular the diagonal form of Q has at most m non-zero entries and it can not have a maximal rank in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. So the Tits fibration has a discrete fiber and the statement follows.

Now assume H arbitrary. Then the base of the Tits fibration $\pi: G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is a generalized flag manifold and K contains a maximal torus T . A result by Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand in [7] implies that $\pi^*: H^*(G/K, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(G/T, \mathbb{C})$ is injective. Moreover, the image of π^* consists in the W_K -invariant elements of $H^*(G/T, \mathbb{C})$. So, if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ are the characteristic classes of the Tits fibration, then $\pi^*(\omega_i)$ belongs to $H^{1,1}(G/T, \mathbb{C})$ and if $Q = \sum q_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j = 0$, then $\pi^*(Q) = 0$ in $H^{1,1}(G/T, \mathbb{C})$. But then there will be additional quadratic relation among the set of the W_K -invariant polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. When W_K is non-trivial this is impossible. So, H has to be either abelian or discrete and, from the first part of the proof, it has to be discrete. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $M = G/H$ be a Wang C-space as above, but with G semisimple. Then $h^{2,1}(M) \neq 0$ only if M has a finite cover which is biholomorphic to a product of a compact even-dimensional Lie group and another Wang C-space.*

Proof. As in the previous proof, the assumption leads to the existence of a quadratic relation Q on the characteristic classes of the Tits fibration $\pi: G/H \rightarrow G/K$. This time the base G/K is a generalized flag manifold with G a semisimple Lie group, so by [11, Corollary, p. 1148] it is a product of generalized flag manifolds $G_1/K_1 \times \dots \times G_k/K_k$ with G_i simple. Now we identify the elements of $H^4(G/K, \mathbb{C})$ with the W_K -invariant quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{s_1}^{(1)}, \dots, x_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_{s_k}^{(k)}]$, where $x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{s_i}^{(i)}$ correspond to the generators of $H^{1,1}(G_i/T_i, \mathbb{C})$ and T_i is the maximal tori in K_i . This follows because the maximal torus of K is the maximal torus of G and it is the product $T = T_1 \times \dots \times T_k$ of maximal tori T_i of K_i , which are also maximal in G_i . Then the polynomial corresponding to Q is of the type $Q = c_1 p_1 + \dots + c_k p_k$ for some constants c_i , where p_i is the unique (up to a constant) quadratic W_{K_i} -invariant polynomial depending on the variables corresponding to the classes of $H^{1,1}(G_i/T_i, \mathbb{C})$. Suppose now that $c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = \dots = c_k = 0$. Then Q is a function of only the variables $x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_{s_1}^{(1)}$ and has a maximal rank. As a consequence the Tits fibration has as fiber the torus T_1 and characteristic classes only in $H^{1,1}(G_1/T_1, \mathbb{C})$ so K_1 is abelian and since T_1 is maximal abelian, $K_1 = T_1$. Moreover as in the previous Lemma, we have $(1, 0)$ -forms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{s_1}$ such that $\bar{\partial}(\sum \alpha_i \wedge \omega_i^1) = Q(\omega_1^1, \dots, \omega_{s_1}^1)$. In particular the real and imaginary parts of α_i^1 are all in \mathfrak{t}_1^* , where \mathfrak{t}_1 is the Lie algebra of T_1 . The restriction of the Tits bundle over $G_1/K_1 = G_1/T_1$ is (up to a finite cover) $G_1 \rightarrow G_1/T_1$ and the tangent bundle of G_1 is complex-invariant, so M is biholomorphic (up to a finite cover) to the product $G_1 \times (G_2 \times \dots \times G_k)/\overline{H}$, where \overline{H} contains $K_2 \times \dots \times K_k$.

Suppose now that more than one of c_i 's is non-zero. Then Q depends only on the variables, corresponding to the nonvanishing c_i and has maximal rank in them. So again, using the same considerations as above, M is up to a finite cover the product of G_i 's for these i and some Wang C-space invariant under the action of the product of G_j 's corresponding to the c_j 's with $c_j = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Every Wang C-space M is represented as $M = G/H$ for a compact semisimple Lie group G . Now assume that M admits an SKT metric but it has no Kähler metrics. From a result by Borel [11] (see also [54], Theorem 5.8) M does not admit an invariant symplectic form. By averaging, M does not admit any symplectic structure. The by Cavalcanti's result [16] about the non-existence of SKT and non-Kähler metrics on a compact complex manifold and Lemma 6.1 we have $h^{2,1}(M) \neq 0$. By Lemma 6.3, M is biholomorphic (up to a finite cover) to a product $G_1 \times M_1$, where M_1 is another Wang C-space. We can embed M_1 into (possible finite cover of) M as a complex submanifold and note that a restriction of an SKT metric to a complex submanifold is again SKT, we obtain an SKT metric on M_1 . If M_1 admits

no Kähler metric again, we can continue until we get a factor which is a C-space, but it admits a Kähler metric, or is empty.

□

Remark 6.1. A product of a Kähler space and a SKT space is SKT. Since the generalized flag manifolds admit Kähler structures (and they are the only homogeneous manifolds of compact semi-simple Lie groups which do, by [11]), the spaces of Theorem 6.1 admit SKT metrics.

Note also that on the product of G_i for the i with $c_i \neq 0$ in the proof, the complex structure does not have to be a product of complex structures on G_i . This is the case of $S^3 \times S^3$ for example.

Acknowledgments. The work on this project started with the second named author's visit to the University of Torino. He is grateful to Mathematics Department there, as well as Max Plank Institute (Bonn) and Institute of Mathematics and Informatics of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences for the hospitality and support during the preparation of parts of this paper. We would like to thank Valentino Tosatti for suggesting the problem of the existence of an astheno-Kähler metric on a compact balanced non-Kähler complex manifold. Moreover, we are grateful to Liana David and Luis Ugarte for useful comments on the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abbena, A. Grassi, Hermitian left invariant metrics on complex Lie groups and cosymplectic Hermitian manifolds, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (6)* **5** (1986), no. 3, 371–379.
- [2] D. Alekseevsky, Flag manifolds, *Zb. Rad. (Beogr.)* **6 (14)** (1997), 3–35.
- [3] D. Alekseevsky, L. David, A note about invariant SKT structures and generalized Kähler structures on flag manifolds, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* **55** (2012), 543–549.
- [4] B. Alexandrov, G. Grantcharov, S. Ivanov, Curvature properties of twistor spaces of quaternionic Kähler manifolds, *J. Geometry* **62** (1998), 1–12.
- [5] L. Alessandrini, Classes of compact non-Kähler manifolds, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **349** (2011), 1089–1092.
- [6] F. Belgun, On the metric structure of non-Kähler complex surfaces, *Math. Ann.* **317** (2000), 1–40.
- [7] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand, Schubert cells and cohomology of the space G/P , *Russian Math. Surveys* **28** (1973), 1–26.
- [8] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [9] J. M. Bismut, A local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **284** (1989), 681–699.
- [10] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts, *Ann. of Math.* **57** (1953), 115–207.
- [11] A. Borel, Kählerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **40** (1954), 1147–1151.
- [12] A. Borel, F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces. I and II *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 458–538 and **81** (1959), 315–382.
- [13] A. Borel, R. Remmert, Über kompakte homogene Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten. *Math. Ann.* **145** 1961/1962, 429–439.

- [14] F. Campana, On twistor spaces of the class C, *J. Differential Geom.* **33** (1991), 541–549.
- [15] J. A. Carlson, D. Toledo, On fundamental groups of class VII surfaces, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1997), 98–102.
- [16] G. R. Cavalcanti, Hodge theory and deformations of SKT manifolds, [arXiv:1203.0493](#).
- [17] C. Chevalley, Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections, *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), 778–782.
- [18] G. Deschamps, N. Le Du, C. Moirougane, Hessian of the natural Hermitian form on twistor spaces, [arXiv:1202.0183](#).
- [19] A. Di Scala, J. Lauret, L. Vezzoni, Quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds and complex 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **11** (2012), 41–60.
- [20] N. Enrietti, A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, Tamed Symplectic forms and Strong Kähler with torsion metrics, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), 203–223.
- [21] T. Fei, Construction of Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau Manifolds and new solutions to the Strominger System, [arXiv:1507.00293](#).
- [22] A. Fino, G. Grantcharov, On some properties of the manifolds with skew symmetric torsion and special holonomy, *Adv. Math.* **189** (2004), 439–450.
- [23] A. Fino, M. Parton, S. Salamon, Families of strong KT structures in six dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004) 317–340.
- [24] A. Fino, A. Tomassini, Blow-ups and resolutions of strong Kähler with torsion metrics, *Adv. Math.* **22** (2009), 914–935.
- [25] A. Fino, A. Tomassini, On astheno-Kähler metrics, *J. London Math. Soc.* **83** (2011), 290–308.
- [26] A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, Special Hermitian metrics on compact solvmanifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **91** (2015), 40–53.
- [27] A. Fino, L. Vezzoni, On the existence of balanced and SKT metrics on nilmanifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), 2455–2459.
- [28] J. Fu, Z. Wang, D. Wu, Form-type Calabi-Yau equations, *Math. Res. Lett.* **17** (2010), no. 5, 887–903.
- [29] S. J. Gates, Jr., C. M. Hull, M. Roček, Twisted multiplets and new supersymmetric nonlinear σ -models, *Nuclear Phys. B* **248** (1984), 157–186.
- [30] P. Gauduchon, La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.* **267** (1984), 495–518.
- [31] G. Grantcharov, Geometry of compact complex homogeneous spaces with vanishing first Chern class, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), 3136–3159.
- [32] D. Grantcharov, G. Grantcharov, Y. S. Poon, Calabi-Yau connections with torsion on toric bundles, *J. Differential Geom.* **78** (2008), no. 1, 13–32.
- [33] D. Guan, Classification of compact complex homogeneous spaces with invariant volumes, *Trans. AMS* **354** (2002), 4493–4504.
- [34] D. Guan, A splitting theorem for compact complex homogeneous spaces with a symplectic structure, *Geom. Dedicata* **63** (1996), 217–225.
- [35] R. Harvey, B. Lawson, An intrinsic characterization of Kähler manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **74** (1983), no. 2, 169–198.
- [36] J. Jost, S.-T. Yau, A non-linear elliptic system for maps from Hermitian to Riemannian manifolds and rigidity theorems in Hermitian geometry, *Acta Math.* **170** (1993), 221–254; *Corrigendum Acta Math.* **173** (1994), 307.
- [37] D. Kaledin, M. Verbitsky, Non-Hermitian Yang-Mills connections, *Selecta Math. New Series* **4** (1998), 279–320.
- [38] A. Latorre, L. Ugarte, On non-Kähler compact complex manifolds with balanced and astheno-Kähler metrics, [arXiv:1608.06744](#).

- [39] K. Matsuo, Astheno-Kähler structures on Calabi-Eckmann manifolds, *Colloq. Math.* **115** (2009), no. 1, 33–39.
- [40] Y. Matsushima, Sur Certaines Varietes Homogenes Complexes, *Nagoya Math. J.* **18** (1961), 1–12.
- [41] M.L. Michelsohn, On the existence of special metrics in complex geometry, *Acta Math.* **143** (1983), 261–295.
- [42] M. Pontecorvo, Complex structures on quaternionic manifold, *Diff. Geom Appl.* **4** (1994), 163–177.
- [43] H. Samelson, A class of complex-analytic manifolds, *Portugaliae Math.* **12** (1953), 129–132.
- [44] A. Strominger, Superstrings with torsion, *Nuclear Phys. B* **274** (1986), 253–284.
- [45] A. Swann, Twisting Hermitian and hypercomplex geometries, *Duke Math. J.* **155**, (2010), 403–431.
- [46] G. Székelyhidi, V. Tosatti, B. Weinkove, Gauduchon metrics with prescribed volume form, [arXiv:1503.04491](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.04491).
- [47] D. Tanre, Modele de Dolbeaut et fibre holomorphe, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **31** (1994), 333–345.
- [48] C. H. Taubes, The existence of anti-self-dual conformal structures, *J. Differential Geom.* **36** (1992), no. 1, 163–253.
- [49] A. Tomberg, Twistor spaces of hypercomplex manifolds are balanced, *Adv. Math.* **280** (2015), 282–300.
- [50] L. Ugarte, Hermitian structures on six dimensional nilmanifolds, *Transf. Groups* **12** (2007), 175–202.
- [51] M. Verbitsky, Rational curves and special metrics on twistor spaces, *Geometry and Topology* **18** (2014), 897–909.
- [52] H.C. Wang, Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure, *Am. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 1–32.
- [53] J. Wolf, A. Gray, Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie groups automorphisms. II, *J. Differential Geom.* **2** (1968), 115–159.
- [54] P. B. Zwart, William M. Boothby, On compact homogeneous symplectic manifolds, *Annales de l’institut Fourier* **30** (1980), 129–157.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “GIUSEPPE PEANO”, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

E-mail address: annamaria.fino@unito.it, luigi.vezzoni@unito.it

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, MIAMI FLORIDA, 33199, USA

E-mail address: grantchg@fiu.edu